# VESTED RIGHTS CODE TEXT AMENDMENT

ORDINANCE 2021-03

### **VESTED RIGHTS**

#### Proposal would make two changes:

- Clarifies and defines when approval is not possible for a land use application because the City has formally initiated proceedings to amend its ordinance
- Clarifies and defines that an applicant of a land use application must, with reasonable diligence, pursue approval or the application will lapse

### **VESTED RIGHTS**

- Proposed code defines "formally initiated proceedings"
- Current Code:
  - An applicant is entitled to approval of a land use application...unless.
    - In the manner provided by local ordinance and before the application is submitted, the City has formally initiated proceedings to amend its ordinances in a manner that would prohibit approval of the application as submitted.

# **VESTED RIGHTS**

- Proposed additional language:
- The City has "formally initiated proceedings" when:
  - A proposed ordinance is pending on the City Council's agenda that would prohibit approval of the application as submitted and was placed on the agenda prior to the applicant's submission; or
  - A proposed code provision is on the City Planning Commission's agenda that would prohibit approval of the application as submitted and was placed on the agenda prior to the applicant's submission.

- Currently an application may be submitted and vested under the code when it was submitted but never progresses through the approval process
  - Applications may be on hold for years and even if the City changes code requirements, the application will be vested under the old requirements
  - Staff feels that a stalled application should lapse if requirements are not met

- Applicants submit applications they never progress for the following reasons:
  - 1. To vest under current code and avoid new requirements
  - 2. They want to apply before a moratorium takes effect
  - 3. Issues arise and the application fails to progress
  - 4. There are not enough funds to complete the process

Current Code:

The continuing validity of an approval of a land use application is conditioned upon the applicant proceeding after approval to implement the approval with reasonable diligence.

- Proposed Code Amendments:
- A. The continuing validity of a land use application that has been deemed complete is conditioned upon the applicant proceeding substantively forward to seek approval of the completed application with reasonable diligence.
  - 1. "Reasonable diligence" shall mean placing the completed application and/or project on the City Council agenda or Planning Commission agenda every 180 days to move the application substantively forward towards final approval.
  - 2. Failure to appear on the City Council or Planning Commission agenda as outlined in (D)(1)(a) and/or failure to show specific evidence that the project is moving substantively forward will result in a lapsed application.
  - 3. After an application lapses, the applicant must restart the application process under the applicable land use ordinances in effect at the time of reapplication including paying all fees applicable to a new application.

# POSSIBLE FINDINGS

- The proposed amendment will assure that applications that fail to progress will lapse
- The City does not want applications to sit idle for months or years and vested on outdated codes
- The proposal will help assure that developments are developed under the most current codes and requirements